## General Bull Elk

In 2023, 4,825 Nebraska residents submitted 4,729 applications ( 96 buddy applications) for 150 bull elk permits. 684 individuals purchased elk bonus points, up from 600 in 2022 and 431 in 2021. Bull elk drawing data were calculated using First Choice options only.

Table 1. 2023 General Bull Elk applicants by bonus point pool.

| Unit | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unit 1 Bull | 187 | 114 | 117 | 80 | 97 | 73 | 70 | 52 | 71 | 60 | 921 |
| Unit 2 Bull | 77 | 46 | 44 | 38 | 38 | 16 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 15 | 331 |
| Unit 3 Bull | 89 | 77 | 51 | 59 | 33 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 26 | 36 | 491 |
| Unit 4 Bull | 115 | 84 | 71 | 51 | 63 | 42 | 48 | 32 | 36 | 40 | 582 |
| Unit 5 Bull | 248 | 179 | 161 | 127 | 125 | 97 | 82 | 86 | 72 | 104 | 1281 |
| Unit 6 Bull | 77 | 42 | 34 | 19 | 21 | 24 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 5 | 262 |
| Unit 7 Bull | 112 | 40 | 48 | 26 | 24 | 18 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 297 |
| Unit 8 Bull | 46 | 20 | 13 | 15 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 120 |
| Unit 9 Bull | 20 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 63 |
| Unit 10 Bull | 25 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 78 |
| Unit 11 Bull | 7 |  | 4 | 5 | 5 |  | 1 | 4 |  | 3 | 29 |
| Unit 12 Bull | 38 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 119 |
| Unit 13 Bull | 34 | 21 | 14 | 9 | 11 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 94 |
| Unit 14 Bull | 17 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 2 |  | 2 | 61 |
| Total | 1092 | 668 | 597 | 462 | 451 | 339 | 308 | 274 | 252 | 286 | 4729 |

Table 2. 2022 General Bull Elk successful applicants from each point pool of applicants and total general permits issued.

| Unit | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unit 1 Bull | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 15 |
| Unit 2 Bull | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| Unit 3 Bull | - | 2 | 3 | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 20 |
| Unit 4 Bull | 2 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 25 |
| Unit 5 Bull | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 20 |
| Unit 6 Bull | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 13 |
| Unit 7 Bull | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 16 |
| Unit 8 Bull | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Unit 9 Bull | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 6 |
| Unit 10 Bull | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| Unit 11 Bull | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| Unit 12 Bull | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 4 |
| Unit 13 Bull | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Unit 14 Bull | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ |

Table 3. Estimated mathematical odds of drawing for each unit and point pool of applicants. This is not weighted by application numbers, but compares relative odds based on bonus points of applicant. See Table 4 for a weighted comparison.

| Unit | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unit 1 Bull | $1:$ | 260 | 130 | 87 | 65 | 52 | 43 | 37 | 32 | 29 | 26 |
| Unit 2 Bull | 149 | 75 | 50 | 37 | 30 | 25 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 15 |  |
| Unit 3 Bull | 112 | 56 | 37 | 28 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 11 |  |
| Unit 4 Bull | 103 | 52 | 34 | 26 | 21 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 10 |  |
| Unit 5 Bull | 288 | 144 | 96 | 72 | 58 | 48 | 41 | 36 | 32 | 29 |  |
| Unit 6 Bull | 68 | 34 | 23 | 17 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 |  |
| Unit 7 Bull | 60 | 30 | 20 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 |  |
| Unit 8 Bull | 62 | 31 | 21 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 |  |
| Unit 9 Bull | 37 | 18 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Unit 10 Bull | 64 | 32 | 21 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 |  |
| Unit 11 Bull | 44 | 22 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 |  |
| Unit 12 Bull | 116 | 58 | 39 | 29 | 23 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 12 |  |
| Unit 13 Bull | 81 | 41 | 27 | 20 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 8 |  |
| Unit 14 Bull | 42 | 21 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 |  |

Table 4. Mathematical draw probability, weighted by pool based on applications per permit and pool. Actual draw success can be calculated by dividing the number in Table 2 by the corresponding cell in Table 1. Numbers will vary from below due to random chance of the drawing. This table demonstrates how each individual is weighted based on their bonus point pool and is corrected for the number of applicants, which is not uniform across permits and pools as the data in Table 1 shows.

| Unit | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 1 Bull | $0.4 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Unit 2 Bull | $0.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| Unit 3 Bull | $0.9 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| Unit 4 Bull | $1.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |
| Unit 5 Bull | $0.3 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Unit 6 Bull | $1.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ |
| Unit 7 Bull | $1.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ |
| Unit 8 Bull | $1.6 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| Unit 9 Bull | $2.7 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ |
| Unit 10 Bull | $1.6 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |
| Unit 11 Bull | $2.3 \%$ | - | $6.8 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | - | $15.8 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | - | $22.6 \%$ |
| Unit 12 Bull | $0.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| Unit 13 Bull | $1.2 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | - | - | $12.3 \%$ |
| Unit 14 Bull | $2.4 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | - | $23.6 \%$ |

Table 5. Analysis of bonus drawing system, including total applicants, mean bull drawing number, percentile rank, expected vs actual drawing probabilities, expected and actual permit distributions by bonus point pool. Expected data if completely random drawing is included for comparison.

| Drawing Data | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total applicants | 1092 | 668 | 597 | 462 | 451 | 339 | 308 | 274 | 252 | 286 |
| Avg Bull Drawing Number | 3802 | 3111 | 2611 | 2353 | 2032 | 1747 | 1679 | 1632 | 1374 | 1376 |
| Avg Bull Number Percentile rank | $80 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Mathematical drawing probability | $0.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| Actual drawing success | $1.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Expected permit distribution | 10.0 | 11.2 | 14.6 | 15.1 | 17.1 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 14.9 | 19.3 |
| Actual permit distribution | 11 | 9 | 15 | 17 | 10 | 24 | 14 | 17 | 16 | 17 |
| Percent of 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Choice for Units 1-5 | $66 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ |

## Elk Applications



Table 6. Matrix of applicant choices between Bull and Antlerless permits. In 2023,34\% of applicants made no second choice selection ( $69-74 \%$ in 2018-2022) and 11\% of applicants selected only antlerless options (13-14\% 2018-2022). These are significant deviations from past years, it's likely that the new permit system aided hunters in applying for second choice options. Percent of total applicants are in parenthesis.

|  |  | SECOND CHOICE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BULL | ANTLERLESS | BLANK | Total |
| FIRST CHOICE | BULL | $1514(28 \%)$ | $1648(31 \%)$ | $1567(29 \%)$ | $4729(89 \%)$ |
|  | ANTLERLESS | $82(1.5 \%)$ | $286(5.4 \%)$ | $226(4.2 \%)$ | $594(11 \%)$ |
|  | Total | $1596(30 \%)$ | $1934(36 \%)$ | $1793(34 \%)$ | 5323 |

## General Antlerless Elk

In 2023, Nebraskans submitted 2,199 applications for 549 General Antlerless Elk permits compared to 1,796 applications for 426 permits in 2022. Antlerless Elk drawing data were calculated using those applicants that selected an Antlerless as a first choice and those unsuccessful Bull applicants that had an antlerless as a second choice option.

Table 7. Odds of drawing 2023 General Antlerless Elk permits.

| Unit | Applications | Issued | Draw Success | Odds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Unit 1 Early Antlerless | 129 | 25 | $19 \%$ | $1:$ |
| Unit 1 Late Antlerless | 162 | 35 | $22 \%$ | 4.2 |
| Unit 2 Early Antlerless | 60 | 15 | $25 \%$ | 4.0 |
| Unit 2 Late Antlerless | 99 | 25 | $25 \%$ | 4.0 |
| Unit 3 Early Antlerless | 96 | 40 | $42 \%$ | 2.4 |
| Unit 3 Late Antlerless | 133 | 40 | $30 \%$ | 3.3 |
| Unit 4 Early Antlerless | 181 | 70 | $39 \%$ | 2.6 |
| Unit 4 Late Antlerless | 123 | 30 | $24 \%$ | 4.1 |
| Unit 5 Early Antlerless | 321 | 30 | $9 \%$ | 10.7 |
| Unit 5 Late Antlerless | 271 | 30 | $11 \%$ | 9.0 |
| Unit 6 Early Antlerless | 90 | 40 | $44 \%$ | 2.3 |
| Unit 6 Late Antlerless | 56 | 20 | $36 \%$ | 2.8 |
| Unit 7 Antlerless | 187 | 36 | $19 \%$ | 5.2 |
| Unit 8 Antlerless | 39 | 20 | $51 \%$ | 2.0 |
| Unit 9 Antlerless | 17 | 10 | $59 \%$ | 1.7 |
| Unit 10 Antlerless | 47 | 35 | $74 \%$ | 1.3 |
| Unit 11 Antlerless | 20 | 10 | $50 \%$ | 2.0 |
| Unit 12 Antlerless | 75 | 16 | $21 \%$ | 4.7 |
| Unit 13 Antlerless | 63 | 6 | $10 \%$ | 10.5 |
| Unit 14 Antlerless | 30 | 16 | $53 \%$ | 1.9 |
| Total/Overall | 2199 | 549 | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | 4.0 |

## Limited Landowner Bull Elk

Table 8. Number of applicants by unit and preference point pool for 2023 Limited Landowner Bull Elk permits. Resident landowners are awarded 1 point and nonresident landowners are awarded 0.9 preference points in unsuccessful drawings. 14 landowners purchased elk preference points

| Unit | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 1 - Bull | 19 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 11 | 1 | 11 | 1 | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | - | 1 | - | - | 60 |
| Unit 2 - Bull | 9 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 |
| Unit 3 - Bull | 18 | - | 19 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 8 | - | 12 | 3 | - | 7 | 1 | 87 |
| Unit 4 - Bull | 30 | - | 8 | 2 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 48 |
| Unit 5 - Bull | 21 | 2 | 32 | - | 15 | 1 | 18 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 94 |
| Unit 6 - Bull | 19 | 1 | 16 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 42 |
| Unit 7 - Bull | 12 | - | 11 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 28 |
| Unit 8 - Bull | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Unit 9 - Bull | 7 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| Unit 10 - Bull | 21 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| Unit 11 - Bull | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Unit 12 - Bull | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Unit 13 - Bull | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Unit 14 - Bull | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 8}$ |

Table 9. Number successful by unit and preference point pool for 2022 Limited Landowner Bull Elk permits.

| Unit | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 1 - Bull | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | - | 1 | - | - | 12 |
| Unit 2 - Bull | 4 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| Unit 3 - Bull | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | 7 | 1 | 15 |
| Unit 4 - Bull | - | - | 8 | 2 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 |
| Unit 5 - Bull | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 15 |
| Unit 6 - Bull | - | - | 4 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| Unit 7 - Bull | - | - | 7 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 |
| Unit 8 - Bull | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| Unit 9 - Bull | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| Unit 10 - Bull | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Unit 11 - Bull | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Unit 12 - Bull | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Unit 13 - Bull | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Unit 14 - Bull | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | - | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | - | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ |

Table 10. Percent drawing success by unit and preference point pool for Limited Landowner Bull Elk permits.

| Unit | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 1 - Bull | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| Unit 2 - Bull | $44 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unit 3 - Bull | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | - | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Unit 4 - Bull | $0 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unit 5 - Bull | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $56 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | - |
| Unit 6 - Bull | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $25 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unit 7 - Bull | $0 \%$ |  | $64 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unit 8 - Bull | $0 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unit 9 - Bull | $14 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unit 10 - Bull | $10 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unit 11 - Bull | $67 \%$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unit 12 - Bull | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unit 13 - Bull | $33 \%$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unit 14 - Bull | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## Limited Landowner Antlerless Elk

Table 11. Applicants, permits issued and drawing success for Limited Landowner Antlerless Elk permits.

| Unit | Applicants | Quota | Issued | Draw Success |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 1 - Antlerless | 56 | 45 | 45 | $80 \%$ |
| Unit 2 - Antlerless | 16 | 30 | 14 | $88 \%$ |
| Unit 3 - Antlerless | 85 | 60 | 60 | $71 \%$ |
| Unit 4 - Antlerless | 60 | 75 | 60 | $100 \%$ |
| Unit 5 - Antlerless | 77 | 45 | 45 | $58 \%$ |
| Unit 6 - Antlerless | 36 | 45 | 34 | $94 \%$ |
| Unit 7 - Antlerless | 22 | 27 | 22 | $100 \%$ |
| Unit 8 - Antlerless | 3 | 15 | 3 | $100 \%$ |
| Unit 9 - Antlerless | 5 | 7 | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| Unit 10 - Antlerless | 18 | 26 | 18 | $100 \%$ |
| Unit 11 - Antlerless | 1 | 7 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| Unit 12 - Antlerless | 1 | 12 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| Unit 13 - Antlerless | 5 | 4 | 4 | $80 \%$ |
| Unit 14 - Antlerless | 6 | 12 | 6 | $100 \%$ |
| Grand Total | 391 | 410 | 318 | $81 \%$ |

## General Deer

In 2023, 3,778 Nebraskans submitted 3,675 applications (103 buddy applications) and 1,716 nonresidents submitted 1,526 applications (190 buddy applications) for deer permits in the draw. 200 residents and 599 nonresidents purchased deer preference points.

Table 12. Number of applicants, permits issued and overall drawing success. Includes buddy applicants in totals.

| Unit | Applications | Permits <br> Available | Percent <br> Drawn* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resident - Frenchman MDCA - Deer | 2101 | 1190 | $55 \%$ |
| Non-Resident - Frenchman MDCA - Deer | 657 | 210 | $30 \%$ |
| Resident - Pine Ridge MDCA - Deer | 442 | 1020 | $110 \%$ |
| Non-Resident - Pine Ridge MDCA - Deer | 494 | 180 | $30 \%$ |
| Resident - Platte MDCA - Deer | 1132 | 765 | $66 \%$ |
| Non-Resident - Platte MDCA - Deer | 375 | 135 | $33 \%$ |
| Resident Total | $\mathbf{3 6 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ |
| Non-Resident Total | $\mathbf{1 5 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ |

*Percent Drawn won't equal Available/Applications due to buddy permits.
Table 13. First Choice deer applications by preference point pool.

| Unit | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resident - Frenchman MDCA - Deer | 1434 | 659 | 8 | - |
| Non-Resident - Frenchman MDCA - Deer | 338 | 188 | 113 | 18 |
| Resident - Pine Ridge MDCA - Deer | 428 | 13 | 1 | - |
| Non-Resident - Pine Ridge MDCA - Deer | 488 | 6 | - | - |
| Resident - Platte MDCA - Deer | 895 | 235 | 2 | - |
| Non-Resident - Platte MDCA - Deer | 241 | 123 | 10 | 1 |
| Resident Total | $\mathbf{2 7 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Non-Resident Total | $\mathbf{1 0 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |

Table 14. Successful applications by preference point pool.

| Unit | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resident - Frenchman MDCA - Deer | 489 | 659 | 8 | - |
| Non-Resident - Frenchman MDCA - Deer | - | 66 | 113 | 18 |
| Resident - Pine Ridge MDCA - Deer | 472 | 13 | 1 | - |
| Non-Resident - Pine Ridge MDCA - Deer | 141 | 8 | - | - |
| Resident - Platte MDCA - Deer | 511 | 235 | 2 | - |
| Non-Resident - Platte MDCA - Deer | - | 114 | 10 | 1 |
| Resident Total | $\mathbf{1 4 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Non-Resident Total | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |
| *Totals won't match Permits Available due to buddy permits. |  |  |  |  |

Table 15. Draw probabilities by applicant preference point pool.

| Unit | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resident - Frenchman MDCA - Deer | $34 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - |
| Non-Resident - Frenchman MDCA - Deer | $0 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Resident - Pine Ridge MDCA - Deer | $110 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - |
| Non-Resident - Pine Ridge MDCA - Deer | $29 \%$ | $133 \%$ | - | - |
| Resident - Platte MDCA - Deer | $57 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - |
| Non-Resident - Platte MDCA - Deer | $0 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Resident Total | $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | - |
| Non-Resident Total | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

[^0]
## General Antelope

There were 4,409 individuals that submitted 4,085 applications (546 buddy applications) for 745 limited quota antelope permits. 477 individuals purchase antelope preference points.

Table 16. Total First Choice applications for Firearm Antelope permits broken down by unit and preference point pool.

| Unit | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firearm-Banner North-Antelope | 124 | 85 | 58 | 59 | 7 | 3 | - | - | 336 |
| Firearm-Banner South-Antelope | 107 | 76 | 80 | 71 | 16 | 3 | - | - | 353 |
| Firearm-Box Butte East-Antelope | 22 | 27 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 79 |
| Firearm-Box Butte West-Antelope | 127 | 92 | 86 | 67 | 32 | 6 | - | - | 410 |
| Firearm-Cherry-Antelope | 122 | 68 | 68 | 44 | 7 | 1 | - | - | 310 |
| Firearm-Cheyenne-Antelope | 65 | 51 | 47 | 56 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 228 |
| Firearm-Dismal-Antelope | 74 | 59 | 62 | 34 | 25 | 1 | - | - | 255 |
| Firearm-Eastern Sandhills-Antelope | 53 | 30 | 17 | 11 | 1 | - | - | - | 112 |
| Firearm-Garden-Antelope | 88 | 58 | 44 | 43 | 25 | 1 | - | - | 259 |
| Firearm-North Sioux-Antelope | 224 | 181 | 132 | 142 | 107 | 91 | 17 | 3 | 897 |
| North Sioux Muzzleloader-Antelope | 46 | 34 | 25 | 22 | 16 | 13 | 8 | - | 164 |
| Prairie Muzzleloader-Antelope | 120 | 110 | 86 | 57 | 11 | - | - | - | 384 |
| NR-Statewide Archery-Antelope | 290 | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 298 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 8 5}$ |

Table 17. Draw success of First Choice applicants by unit and preference point pool.

| Unit | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firearm-Banner North-Antelope | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - | - | 70 |
| Firearm-Banner South-Antelope | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $113 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - | - | 90 |
| Firearm-Box Butte East-Antelope | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $150 \%$ | $150 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - | - | 20 |
| Firearm-Box Butte West-Antelope | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $134 \%$ | $133 \%$ | - | - | 60 |
| Firearm-Cherry-Antelope | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $116 \%$ | $143 \%$ | $300 \%$ | - | - | 70 |
| Firearm-Cheyenne-Antelope | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - | - | 40 |
| Firearm-Dismal-Antelope | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - | - | 40 |
| Firearm-Eastern Sandhills-Antelope | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $109 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | 20 |
| Firearm-Garden-Antelope | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - | - | 50 |
| Firearm-North Sioux-Antelope | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 100 |
| North Sioux Muzzleloader-Antelope | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - | 25 |
| Prairie Muzzleloader-Antelope | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $111 \%$ | $109 \%$ | - | - | - | 115 |
| NR-Statewide Archery-Antelope | $71 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | - | 250 |
| *Draw odds greater than 100\% are due to successful second choice applications. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Landowner Antelope

There were 143 applications submitted limited landowner antelope permits. All were successful in drawing.

## Lottery Permits

One winner was drawn from each pool of applications.

| Unit | Applications |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2023 Resident-Super Tag Lottery SINGLE ENTRY- Multi-Species | 2,148 |
| 2023 Super Tag Lottery MULTIPLE ENTRY- Multi-Species | 6,355 |
| 2023 Resident-Combo Lottery MULTIPLE ENTRY- Multi-Species | 1,157 |
| 2023 Non-Resident-Combo Lottery MULTIPLE ENTRY- Multi-Species | 1,359 |


[^0]:    *Draw odds greater than $100 \%$ are due to successful second choice applications.

